

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/069,103 | 02/22/2002 | Marie-Laure Souvie | 71247-0003 1683 | | |
| 22902 7590 06/01/2005 | | EXAMINI | | VER | |
| CLARK & B | RODY NT AVENUE, NW | | COLE, MONIQUE T | | |
| SUITE 250 | IVI AVEIVOE, IVIV | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| WASHINGTO | N, DC 20005 | | 1743 | | |

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | / / | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| - | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | | |
| | | 10/069,103 | SOUVIE ET AL. | | | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | | |
| | | Monique T. Cole | 1743 | | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ourse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) di vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDON | timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication IED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | n. | | | | | | |
| Status | , | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)[[X] | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Fe | ehruany 2005 | | | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | | action is non-final. | | | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | | | | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ | 4) ☐ Claim(s) 24-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 56 and 57 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 24-55 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | epted or b) \square objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | | |
| 12)□ a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | tion Noved in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | • • | | | | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [| | | | | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Infori | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date | | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/069,103 Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 24-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not provide support for free of ethanol or alcohol in the free form. Further clarification and/or correction is required.
- 3. Claims 53-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a composition without ethanol or alcohol, does not reasonably provide enablement for a method of making a composition that may include ethanol or alcohol. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.
- 4. Claims 56 & 57 allowed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments, see remarks, filed 2/25/2005, with respect to the application of the Surbled reference have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of the claims has been withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1743

Applicant's arguments, see remarks, filed 2/25/2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of the claim(s) under 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of the newly added claim limitations as discussed above in paragraph 2.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monique T. Cole whose telephone number is 571-272-1255. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Monique T. Cole Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

mtc